

COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA

		Case No.: LM150Nov22
In the matter between:		
Sabic Agri-Nutrients Con	pany	Primary Acquiring Firm
And		
ETG Inputs Holdco Ltd		Primary Target Firm
Panel:	AW Wessels (Presiding Member)	
	T Vilakazi (Tribunal Member)	
	A Ndoni (Tribunal Member)	
Heard on:	13 March 2023	
Decided on:	13 March 2023	
	ORDER	
	dation of the Competition Common Act, 1998 ("the Act") the Compe	
1. the merger betwee 16(2)(a) of the Act;	n the abovementioned parties be a and	pproved in terms of section
2. a Merger Clearanc 35(5)(a).	e Certificate be issued in terms of	Competition Tribunal Rule
	<u> </u>	13 March 2023
Presiding Member Mr Andreas Wessels		Date

Concurring: Dr. Thando Vilakazi and Ms Andiswa Ndoni



Notice CT 10

About this Notice

This notice is issued in terms of section 16 of the Competition Act.

You may appeal against this decision to the Competition Appeal Court within 20 business days.

Contacting the Tribunal

The Competition Tribunal
Private Bag X24
Sunnyside
Pretoria 0132
Republic of South Africa
tel: 27 12 394 3300
fax: 27 12 394 0169
e-mail: ctsa@comptrib.co.za

Merger Clearance Certificate

Date: 13 March 2023

To: Bowmans Attorneys

Case Number: LM150Nov22

Sabic Agri-Nutrients Company And ETG Inputs Holdco Ltd

You applied to the Competition Commission on 14 November
2022 for merger approval in accordance with Chapter 3 of the Competition Act.

Your merger was referred to the Competition Tribunal in terms of section 14A of the Act, or was the subject of a Request for consideration by the Tribunal in terms of section 16(1) of the Act.

After reviewing all relevant information, and the recommendation or decision of the Competition Commission, the Competition Tribunal approves the merger in terms of section 16(2) of the Act, for the reasons set out in the Reasons for Decision.

This	арр	roval is subject to:
	Х	no conditions.

The Competition Tribunal has the authority in terms of section 16(3) of the Competition Act to revoke this approval if

the conditions listed on the attached sheet.

- a) it was granted on the basis of incorrect information for which a party to the merger was responsible.
- b) the approval was obtained by deceit.
- c) a firm concerned has breached an obligation attached to this approval.

The Registrar, Competition Tribunal	