

COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA

ORDER

: 24 February 2021

Decided on

Further to the recommendation of the Competition Commission in terms of section 14A(1)(b) of the Competition Act, 1998 ("the Act") the Competition Tribunal orders that-

- 1. the merger between the abovementioned parties be approved in terms of section 16(2)(a) of the Act; and
- 2. a Merger Clearance Certificate be issued in terms of Competition Tribunal rule 35(5)(a).

	24 February 2021	
Presiding Member	Date	
Ms Yasmin Carrim		

Concurring: Prof. Imraan Valodia and Prof. Fiona Tregenna



Notice CT 10

About this Notice

This notice is issued in terms of section 16 of the Competition Act.

You may appeal against this decision to the Competition Appeal Court within 20 business days.

Contacting the Tribunal

The Competition Tribunal Private Bag X24 Sunnyside Pretoria 0132 Republic of South Africa tel: 27 12 394 3300 e-mail: ctsa@comptrib.co.za

Merger Clearance Certificate

Date: 24 February 2021

To : Norton Rose Fulbright

Case Number: LM170Dec20

Mercantile Bank, A division of Capitec Bank Ltd And A Loan Book of A Maximum of 400 Loans Owned by Grobank Ltd

You applied to the Competition Commission on <u>04 December</u> <u>2020</u> for merger approval in accordance with Chapter 3 of the Competition Act.

Your merger was referred to the Competition Tribunal in terms of section 14A of the Act, or was the subject of a Request for consideration by the Tribunal in terms of section 16(1) of the Act.

After reviewing all relevant information, and the recommendation or decision of the Competition Commission, the Competition Tribunal approves the merger in terms of section 16(2) of the Act, for the reasons set out in the Reasons for Decision.

This app	roval is subject to:
X	no conditions.
	the conditions listed on the attached sheet.

The Competition Tribunal has the authority in terms of section 16(3) of the Competition Act to revoke this approval if

- a) it was granted on the basis of incorrect information for which a party to the merger was responsible.
- b) the approval was obtained by deceit.
- c) a firm concerned has breached an obligation attached to this approval.

The Registrar, Competition Tribunal		