

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE PLAN FOR THE YEARS 2015 – 2016

Foreword

The Competition Tribunal is one of three institutions established to regulate competition in the South African economy, namely the Competition Commission, the Competition Tribunal and the Competition Appeal Court. The Tribunal, in particular, is responsible for adjudicating on exemption applications, mergers and acquisitions as well as restrictive practices by companies.

The Economic Development Department (EDD) recognises that, as an adjudicator, the Tribunal's agenda is largely driven by the cases brought to it by the Competition Commission making both its strategic outlook and annual planning subject to some unpredictable elements. Nevertheless, just as the Tribunal has aligned its strategic priorities with those of the EDD in its five-year Strategic Plan, it has also aligned its annual priorities with those of the EDD in its Annual Performance Plan outlined below. These include the promotion of competition, smart economic regulation and the coordination of job drivers.

I note with approval that the Tribunal has revised its objectives to include a number of new indicators and targets that deal specifically with accountability and transparency. This will ensure that while pursuing objectives related to its legislated mandate the Tribunal is simultaneously striving to be a public entity that can demonstrate it adheres to good corporate governance and sound business practice that in turn contributes to effective strategic leadership, administration and management.

I endorse the Competition Tribunal's Annual Performance Plan and will provide the Tribunal with my support to ensure the implementation thereof

Ebrahim Patel

Minister of Economic Development

Gloriford

Executive Authority of the Competition Tribunal

Official sign-off

It is hereby certified that this Annual Performance Plan of the Competition Tribunal for the period 2015 – 2016 was:

- i) Developed by the management of the Competition Tribunal under the guidance of the Accounting Authority Mr. Norman Manoim (the accounting authority).
- ii) Prepared in line with the Competition Tribunal's Strategic Plan for the five year period 2015/2016 2019/2020.
- iii) Accurately reflects the performance targets the Competition Tribunal will endeavour to achieve over the period 2015 2016.

Janeen de Klerk

Chief Operating Officer - Competition Tribunal

Signature: _	4	5	\geq	
Date:		9	3	2015
AVERA L				

Norman Manoim

Chairperson - Competition Tribunal

Ebrahim Patel

Executive Authority - Economic Development Department

Signature: 93 2015

Contents

Fore	wordDrow	2
Offic	cial sign-off	3
Part	A: Strategic Overview	5
1.	Updated Situational Analysis	5
2.	Revisions to legislative and other mandates	5
3.	Overview of 2015/2016 budget and MTEF estimates	5
	3.1. MTEF Estimates	5
	3.2 Expenditure Estimates	8
	3.3 Relating Expenditure Estimates to Strategic Outcome Goals	10
	3.4 The Competition Appeal Court	11
	3.5 Materiality Framework	12
Part	B: Organisational Plans	12
4.	Strategic Objectives in the Tribunal	12
	4.1. Strategic objective annual targets for the MTEF period (2015/2016 to 2019/2020)	12
	4.2. Performance Indicators, quarterly and annual targets	12
	4.3. Reconciling targets with the budget and MTEF	13
Anne	exure A: ENE Summary – July 2014	14
Ann	exure B: Summarised Five Year Budget 2015/2016 – 2019/2020	15
Anne	exure C: Detailed One Year Budget - 2015/2016	17
Anne	exure D: Audited Actual, Estimated and Medium Term Targets	19
Anne	exure E: Annual performance indicators, quarterly and annual targets	25
Anne	exure F: Expenditure allocation by objective MTEF submission July 2014	32
Anne	exure G: Materiality Framework	33
Anne	exure H: Technical Indicator Descriptions	35

Part A: Strategic Overview

1. Updated Situational Analysis

As 2015/2016 is the first year of the current five year planning period (2015/2016 – 2019/2020) reference should be made to the strategic plan which describes a detailed description of the current situational analysis, including the performance environment and the organisational environment.

Subsequent versions of the Annual Performance Plan will provide any relevant updated information pertaining to these areas.

2. Revisions to legislative and other mandates

At present the legislative framework in terms of which the Competition Tribunal (hereinafter referred to as the Tribunal) operates remains unchanged. A recent proclamation bringing into operation certain provisions of the Competition Amendment Act, Act 1 of 2009, provides for market enquiries, however this affects the Competition Commission (hereinafter referred to as the Commission), which is responsible for running these enquiries. The Tribunal is not affected as its only function in relation to these enquiries is to adjudicate on claims for confidential information.

3. Overview of 2015/2016 budget and MTEF estimates

3.1. MTEF Estimates

The Tribunal's budget (inclusive of capital expenditure) over the MTEF period (2015/2016 – 2017/2018) is estimated to be R 139.67m. It is expected that the budget can be funded as follows:

- i) Economic Development Department (EDD) grant funding of R 60.43m for three years.
- ii) Expected filing fee revenue of R 37.09m (assuming continued merger activity).
- iii) Expected accumulated surpluses as at March 2015 of R9.89m.

The anticipated income reflected above is not sufficient to cover the budgeted expenditure and the Tribunal therefore in July 2014 made a separate submission to EDD and National Treasury for additional funding of R32.34m for this period.

R 20.62 m of this shortfall is the result of two recent developments that could not have been foreseen at the time of an earlier MTEF submission (December 2013/January 2014). These developments are as follows;

- a. the appointment of an additional full-time Tribunal member by the Minister in August 2014. The salary for this member was not provided for in the prior submission. The effect of this appointment over the MTEF period is an increase in the budget of R 6 .01m.
- b. in the course of this year the Tribunal was advised by the dti that the facility fee paid to them for occupying space on the campus would escalate significantly from

2015/2016 onwards. Before the Tribunal was advised of the increase for the 2015/2016 year it estimated that this fee would be R 2.23m, however based on the escalated per square metre fee it estimates the fee to be R 6.85m - which represents an increase of 207% on the original budgeted figure. Over the MTEF period the total effect of this escalated facility fee is R 14.61m

The remaining R 11.72 m (an average of R 3.91m per annum) relates to other operational expenses.

In November 2014 we were advised by National Treasury of the grants we would receive over the MTEF period. This amount had been escalated on a straight line basis from the prior MTEF period and fell short of the increased grants we had requested in prior business plans. We prepared our next budget for the MTEF period budgeting for a deficit in the final two years of the period. We did so on the understanding that we would make submissions for an increase in our budget for the two final years of the present MTEF period. It is permissible, in terms of Section 53 (3) of the Public Finance Management Act (Act No.1 of 1999 amended by Act No 29 of 1999) to budget for such a deficit with the consent of Treasury.

However in December 2014 we were informed by the EDD and National Treasury that our request to budget for a deficit had been refused. In compliance with this the Tribunal undertook a review of the budget to eliminate the deficit. The budget was decreased as follows:

- 2015 by R 9.87m
- 2016 by 10.92m
- 2016 by R 11.58m

It is critical to note that the budget drawn to eliminate the funding deficit is R 1.85 m less than the current 2014/2015 budget of R 36.63m.

The <u>only</u> way in which the Tribunal could achieve this decrease over the three year period was to do the following:

- R 15.79 m reduction achieved by reducing the budget for the facility fee (office rental)
 payable to the dti from the proposed escalated rate to the current rate plus an inflationrelated adjustment.
- 2) R 11.35 m reduction has been achieved by a reduction in personnel costs through applying the following:
 - No cost of living adjustments for all senior and executive staff and Tribunal members in 2015/2016 and in the two outer years of the MTEF cost of living adjustments for all staff are set at 4.5% for 2015/2016, 5.5% for 2016/2017 and 5% for 2017/2018. A rate below the expected rate of inflation thus indicating reduced real rates of remuneration;
 - > The salaries of two full-time Tribunal members have been reduced to 50% in one instance and 33% in another thus effectively making them part-time; and
 - Reduction in the budget for performance bonuses.

- 3) A 48% reduction in the training budget.
- 4) All travel related to overseas conferences/workshops has been reduced to economy class for all personnel irrespective of their status. In addition we have removed the budget for a study visit by the Registrar and COO to the offices of three international competition authorities.
- 5) R 1.26m reduction in the budget for professional services, travel, administrative, IT and capital expenditure. There is little room for further reduction in these line items as they relate to essential operational services or required compliance (e.g. internal/external audit, audit committee meetings).

The Tribunal is a small organisation and operates on a small budget and as we have always adopted a "no frills" approach it is difficult to reduce the budget without compromising the efficiency of the organisation. Unfortunately reducing the budget to avoid the deficit will have just this effect and while they may be effective in reducing the deficit they will impact significantly on the Tribunal's work and staff morale.

If the dti proceeds to implement the proposed facility fee the implication is that we will no longer be able to afford to be accommodated on this campus.

If the personnel cost reductions are implemented then we face two consequences. Full time administrative staff will be extremely dissatisfied particularly if increases are below those paid generally in the public sector. The Tribunal has traditionally followed the public sector cost of living increases and applied them to its staff. Changes to these long standing practices may also have Labour Law consequences.

The second consequence is a reduction in absolute capacity. If the capacity of two of the full-time members is reduced; respectively by a third and one half, this would amount to making them part-time members, seriously degrading the capacity of the Tribunal to perform its core function at a time when demands on this function are continually increasing, not decreasing. Further there is a legal problem. The Act does not allow for a change in conditions of employment of Tribunal members during the period of their appointment. All the four full time members' appointments coincide with the present MTEF period.

In order to avoid such negative consequences, it is critical that the Tribunal continue to meet with National Treasury and the EDD to resolve the funding issue as soon as possible.

The table on the next page reflects the revised MTEF submission. It must be noted that this budget is 76.81% of the budget we originally indicated we would require to operate effectively. The original unadjusted budget is contained in our strategic plan for the five year period.

	2015/2016 (R' m)	2016/2017 (R' m)	2017/2018 (R' m)
Total planned expenditure (inclusive of capital)	34.77	35.42	37.09
Fee income and interest	11.84	12.50	12.75
Voted funds	19.10	20.12	21.21
Total expected revenue	30.94	32.62	38.87
Net Deficit/Surplus	3.83	2.80	3.22
Accumulated surpluses	3.83	2.80	3.22
Extra funding required	0.00	0.00	0.00

In addition it must be noted that the Tribunal has indicated to National Treasury and the EDD that for the MTEF period from 2018/2019 onwards grants in excess of R 49.00 m will be required.

This is discussed in detail in the Strategic Plan submitted together with this APP.

Without these funds the Tribunal will not be in a position to fully deliver on its mandate and runs the risk of not being regarded as a going concern.

Annexure B contains a summarized version of the Tribunal's budgetary requirements over the five-year planning period. Notwithstanding the adjustments reflected above, the budget for 2016/2017 to 2017/2018 has been drawn based on the consumer price index (CPI) of 5.5 % in 2016/2017 and 5.0% from 2017/2018 to 2019/2020.

3.2 Expenditure Estimates

A detailed one-year budget for the 2015/2016 year is included in Annexure C.

As stated in the Strategic Plan the Tribunal is an adjudicative body and hence reactive in terms of the cases brought before it. It is therefore difficult to accurately predict the number of cases to be heard annually. This means that budgeting accurately becomes difficult as many of the line items are based on an estimated number of cases to be heard in that year. In addition the Tribunal makes a large provision for legal fees, as it is possible that particular cases may require the Tribunal to seek legal opinion.

Both these factors mean that variances in actual expenditure as opposed to budgeted expenditure do arise.

Assumptions, apart from the reductions referred to earlier, made in drafting the Tribunal's annual budget include:

The appointment of staff in line with the outcomes of the Tribunal's organisational assessment completed in 2012/2013 with the exception of two positions - a document and knowledge manager and an additional registry clerk.

- ii) 308 days spent in hearings, preparations and decision writing by part-time Tribunal members for the year.
- iii) The daily fee payable to part-time Tribunal members for attendance at hearings/preparation and decision writing is estimated to be R 8 000.00 per day.
- iv) All other costs associated with holding hearings are based on the estimate in (iii) above.
- v) Eight international conferences/workshops/seminars attended by six Tribunal members and staff.
- vi) Attendance by one full-time Tribunal member at two Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Competition Committee meetings.
- vii) Attendance by three executive members at four portfolio committee meetings.
- viii) Specific IT requirements year on year inclusive of hardware and software support.

The table on the next page illustrates the Tribunal's budget allocation across the various expenditure categories for both the current and the next financial year.

CATEGORY	2015/2016	2014/2015
PERSONNEL	54.44%	53.97%
PT TRIBUNAL MEMBERS	8.70%	8.46%
TRAINING	2.33%	3.10%
CONFERENCE/SEMINARS	1.24%	1.36%
SHARED SERVICES	1.68%	1.53%
FACILITY FEE	5.39%	5.77%
CONSULTING	0.75%	2.35%
LEGAL FEES	0.00%	0.41%
TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES	2.07%	1.81%
AUDIT EXPENSES	4.89%	4.35%
RECRUIT COSTS	0.00%	0.30%
ADMIN EXPENSES	4.91%	4.58%
DEPRECIATION	3.28%	3.20%
OTHER IT EXPENSES	4.85%	3.69%
REPAIRS/MAINTENANCE	0.13%	0.09%
CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	3.91%	3.55%
APPEAL COURT	1.44%	1.48%
GRAND TOTAL	100.00%	100%

63.14 % of the Tribunal's current budget will be spent on personnel expenses (including the fees paid to the part-time Tribunal members).

"Shared service fee" refers to the payments made to the Competition Commission for shared services (in terms of a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA)) while "facility fee" refers to the fee the Tribunal is required to pay the dti (through the Commission) for occupying space on the campus.

These two figures, together, account for 7.06 % of the budget. Costs associated with audits (internal, external audit fees and audit committee expenses) account for 4.89% of the budget while administrative expenses account for 4.91% of the budgeted expenditure.

The Tribunal, in drawing the budget, has attempted to rationalise spending as far as possible, given the limited scope its activities provide for cost cutting exercises. The Tribunal has kept the number of overseas trips undertaken by Tribunal members and staff to a maximum of eight per annum and in addition have budgeted all travel at economy class as opposed to business class tickets.

As indicated in the Strategic Plan, the Tribunal receives a portion of the filing fees paid to the Commission for the filing of merger applications. For the 2015/2016 financial year this is estimated at R 10.67 m.

These fees, together with the MTEF allocation of R 19.10 m for the 2015/2016 financial year, are not sufficient funding to cover the Tribunal's expected expenditure of R 44.65 m (inclusive of capital expenditure). The Tribunal has estimated that it will use approximately R 3.83 m in accumulated surpluses to cover this shortfall.

3.3 Relating Expenditure Estimates to Strategic Outcome Goals

The Tribunal, being an adjudicative body that responds to matters brought before it, is not project or programme driven and for this reason the budget is primarily an operational/administrative budget.

Prior to the submission of the Strategic Plan and the Annual Performance Plan the Tribunal undertook a review of its strategic outcomes and goals. While the strategic outcomes related to its mandate and core business remain unchanged it unpacks the operational and administrative aspects of its activities to include objectives related to oversight and financial management.

The three strategic objective outcome (SOO) goals identified by the Tribunal are detailed in the table below. In addition strategic objectives, indicators and targets associated with each SOO are reflected in detail in <u>Annexure F</u> of the Strategic Plan for the five year period, in <u>Annexure D</u> of this document for the MTEF period and in <u>Annexure E</u> for the 2015/2016 financial year. In addition the Tribunal has reassessed all its targets based on average baseline performance over the last three years

SOO Goal 1	Adjudicative Excellence
Goal Statement	To ensure effective and efficient adjudication on matters brought before the Tribunal.
SOO Goal 2	Stakeholder Relationships
Goal Statement	To build and develop effective stakeholder relationships.
SOO Goal 3	Accountable, Transparent and Sustainable Entity
Goal Statement	To ensure effective leadership, transparency and accountability in the Tribunal through capacity building, effective reporting, policy management and financial compliance.

It is difficult to allocate the Tribunal's entire budget across the three SOO Goals identified in the Tribunal's strategic plan, however, the Tribunal has managed to allocate **71.66%** of the annual budget across the three strategic objective outcomes as illustrated in the table on the next page:

Strategic Outcome Objective Goal	Budget	% of budget
To ensure effective and efficient adjudication on matters brought before the Tribunal	R 18 025 436.38	51.83%
To build and develop effective stakeholder relationships.	827 782.44	2.38%
To ensure effective leadership, transparency and accountability in the Tribunal through capacity building, effective reporting, policy management and financial compliance.	R 6 505 938.36	18.71%

The remainder of the budget is distributed as follows:

- Administrative costs 18.45%
- Depreciation 3.28%
- Capital expenditure 3.91%
- Appeal Court costs 1.44%

<u>Annexure F</u> reflects the allocation of the Tribunal's actual (2012/2013 – 2013/2014), predicted (2014/2015) and budgeted expenditure (2015/2016 – 2017/2018) between the original three Strategic Objective Outcomes , administrative expenses and the Competition Appeal Court as included in the MTEF submitted in July 2014. While restating the Tribunal's SOO and objectives did not have an effect on expenditure estimates, some adjustments will need to be made in a later submission to reflect future expenditure against the restated objectives.

3.4 The Competition Appeal Court

The Competition Act (1998) set up a triad of institutions (the Commission, the Tribunal and the Competition Appeal Court (CAC)) with exclusive jurisdiction over competition matters (that is, chapters 2 and 3 of the Act).

The CAC is a specialised division of the High Court comprising at least 3 Judges, each of whom must be a Judge of the High Court. The CAC may review, or consider an appeal arising from, any Tribunal decision.

CAC Judges are appointed by the President, on the advice of the Judicial Services Commission. The tenure of office, remuneration and terms and conditions of service of a Judge of the High Court is not affected by his/her appointment to the CAC.

The Registrar of the Tribunal (and CAC) liaises with the Judicial Services Commission over the appointment of CAC Judges and is responsible for the training of the Judges. The Tribunal secretariat provides the registry function for the CAC and the registrar of the Tribunal acts as the Registrar of the CAC.

At present the Tribunal includes the Appeal Court as a line item in its budget and is responsible for the financing of all aspects of the Appeal Court except for personnel expenses.

As is the case with the Tribunal it is difficult to predict the number of appeals that may be lodged against Tribunal decisions and as a result budgeting becomes difficult and variances do occur.

The budget for 1st April 2015 - 31st March 2016 is estimated at R 499 290.55 and is reflected in the table on the next page.

2015/2016 Budget	7
31.20%	
11.50%	
45.30%	
12.00%	
100.00%	
	31.20% 11.50% 45.30%

The Superior Courts Act has been promulgated and the Competition Appeal Court has been incorporated into this statute. As a result of this it may be possible going forward that the Tribunal will no longer be responsible for the Appeal Court budget, but until then the Tribunal continues to provide administrative and financial support to the Court.

3.5 Materiality Framework

<u>Annexure G</u> reflects the Tribunal's materiality framework for the period 1st April 2015 – 31st March 2016.

The Tribunal is not capital intensive and revenue generated from filing fees and total expenses (exclusive of capital expenditure) are identified as being the best reflection of the Tribunal's activities and are therefore used as the basis for the calculation of a materiality figure.

The materiality figure of R 444 000.00 is determined by taking the average of 1% of actual revenue and actual expenditure in 2013/2014.

Part B: Organisational Plans

4. Strategic Objectives in the Tribunal

4.1. Strategic objective annual targets for the MTEF period (2015/2016 to 2019/2020)

As indicated earlier in this document and in the Strategic Plan, the Tribunal has identified three SOO Goals. Each of these SOO goals has been further unpacked to reflect one or more strategic objective per SOO goal. Specific outputs, annual performance indicators and annual targets are assigned per objective for each year of the MTEF period. These together with prior performance against common year on year objectives are tabulated in <u>Annexure D.</u>

4.2. Performance Indicators, quarterly and annual targets

Specific outputs, performance indicators and targets have been assigned for the 2015/2016 and are tabulated in <u>Annexure E.</u>

4.3. Reconciling targets with the budget and MTEF

As indicated in Section 3.3 of the APP, the Tribunal has been able to allocate 71.66% against the SOO goals and in addition has been able to determine the direct costs associated with the core business – hearings - and has also been able to allocate a substantial portion of the budget against stated strategic objectives.

The entire 2015/2016 budget can therefore be divided by objective as illustrated in the table on the next page:

Strategic Objective	Link to objective	Budget (R)
Timeous hearings and issuing of judgements (direct hearing costs)	Objective 1. 1 and 1.2	16 072 457.09
Effective business processes	Objective 1.3	1 952 979.29
Stakeholder awareness	Objective 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3	827 782.44
Effective oversight and good governance	Objective 3.1 and 3.2	3 172 747.79
Effective financial management	Objective 3.3	1 744 816.50
Sustainable capacity	Objective 3.4	1 588 374.07
Administration (incl. depreciation)	N/A	7 556 869.41
Capital Expenditure	N/A	1 359 800.00
Competition Appeal Court	N/A	499 290.55
TOTAL BUDGET	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	34 775 117.27

At present direct hearing costs include the total salaries of the full-time Tribunal members and all case managers/researchers and registry staff despite the fact that they may perform functions that are not specifically case related.

The CMS system currently in operation enables us, to some extent, to determine the ratio between case and non-case related work but will not be entirely accurate. Over time we hope to investigate this issue further thus arriving at a more accurate costing of the Tribunal's core business.

The Tribunal will, on a quarterly basis, report on progress with regard to the achievement of targets and costs associated with pursuing achievement of these targets to the EDD, National Treasury and other stakeholders annually.

Annexure A: ENE Summary – July 2014

Statement of financial											Exnen-			
performance									Outcome/	Average	diture			
									Budget	growth	total:			
	Approved	Audited	Approved	Audited	Approved	Audited	Budget	Revised	Average	rate	Average			
	budget	Outcome	budget	Outcome	budget	Outcome	estimate	estimate	34	(%)	8	Mediun	Medium-term estimate	473
R mousand	2011/12	12	2012/13	13	2013/14	14	2014/15	2		2011/12 - 2014/15	115	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
Revenue														
Tax revenue	ì	ı	ı	. 1	ı	ı	ı	I	ı)	1	1	ı	ı
Non-tax revenue	10 362	11 218	14 688	9 540	15 638	11 861	18 527	17 225	46.9%	15.4%	42.5%	15 673	15 308	15 970
Sale of goods and services other than capital assets	7 250	10 015	9 075	8 417	9 775	10 856	9886	9 880	28.8%	-0.5%	34.2%	10 670	11 524	12 446
of which:									ı					
Administrative fees	7 250	10 015	9 075	8 417	9 775	10 856	9 880	9 880	28.8%	-0.5%	34.2%	10 670	11 524	12 446
Sales by market establishment	'	1	1	1	1	ı	1	ı	ı	ı	1	t	ŀ	ı
Other sales	ı	ı	1	1	•	1	ı	ı	1	1	ı	1	1	1
Other ron-tax revenue	3112	1 203	5 613	1 123	5 863	1 005	8 647	7 345	∞ .	82.8%	8.3%	5 003	3 784	3 524
Transfers received	15 175	15 175	15 600	15 798	16 945	16 945	18 100	18 100	53.1%	6.1%	57.5%	19 102	20 115	21 121
Total revenue	25 537	26 393	30 288	25 338	32 583	28 806	36 627	35 325	100.0%	10.2%	100.0%	34 775	35 423	37 091
Expenses								j		<u></u>				
Current expenses	25 537	23 287	30 288	26 789	32 583	32 485	35 325	34 029	100.0%	13.5%	100.0%	33 416	34 727	36 362
Compensation of employ ees	14 781	12 646	18 323	13 710	20 412	16 170	19 768	18 656	59.2%	13.8%	52.5%	18 932	19 870	20 791
Goods and services	10 267	10 197	11 123	12 524	11 163	15 238	14 386	14 397	38.0%	12.2%	44.9%	13 344	13 755	14 414
Depreciation	489	444	842	555	1 000	1 077	1 170	975	2.8%	30.0%	2.5%	1 140	1 102	1 157
Interest, dividends and rent on land	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1	ı	ı	(1	ı	ı	1
Transfers and subsidies	1	1	,	1	1	1	1	1	1	t	1		1	I
Total expenses	25 537	23 287	30 288	26 789	32 583	32 485	35 325	34 029	100.0%	13.5%	100.0%	33 416	34 727	36 362
Surplus/(Deficit)		3 106	ı	(1 452)	•	(3 679)	1 302	1 296	The Later County	-25.3%	数.	1 359	969	729

Note: The surplus reflected here is not a surplus but is capital expenditure which is reflected elsewhere in the ENE

Annexure B: Summarised Five Year Budget 2015/2016 – 2019/2020

CATEGORY	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
INCOME						
EDD GRANT REQUIRED	0	0	0	0	27 464 724	28 358 687
COMMITTED EDD GRANT	18 100 000	19 102 000	20 115 000	21 121 000	0	0
FEES RECEIVED	9 879 760	10 670 141	11 523 752	12,445,652	13 067 935	13 721 331
BAL BEWD	7 477 110	3,832,977	2 804 251	3 224 161	0	0
INTEREST RECEIVED	1 170 000	1 170 000	980 000	300 000	0	0
TOTAL INCOME	36 626 870	34 775 118	35 423 003	37 090 813	40 532 659	42 080 018
EXPENDITURE						
PERSONNEL	19 769 155	18 931 979	19 869 569	20 791 170	22 950 754	24 020 549
PT TRIBUNAL MEMBERS	3 097 125	3 025 250	3 146 260	3 272 110	3 402 995	3 539 115
TRANING	1 136 308	808 531	853 000	895 650	940 433	987 454
CONFERENCES AND SEMINARS	497 689	432 110	180 092	189 097	198 551	208 479
SHARED SERVICE	559 063	583 297	615 378	646 147	678 455	712 377
FACILITY FEE	2 114 881	1 873 249	1 976 278	2 075 092	2 178 846	2 287 789
CONSULTING	859 540	261 432	275 810	289 601	304 081	319 285
LEGAL FEES	150 000	0	0	0	0	0
TRANSCRIPTION FEES	663 076	721 266	760 936	798 983	838 932	880 878
AUDIT EXPENSES	1 594 226	1 701 739	1 795 335	1 885 101	1 979 356	2 078 324
RECRUIT COSTS	108 510	0	0	0	0	0
ADMIN EXPENSES	1 676 155	1 705 882	1 799 706	1 889 691	1 984 175	2 083 384
DEPRECIATION	1 170 416	1 139 697	1 102 381	1 157 500	1 215 375	1 276 143
OTHER IT EXPENDITURE	1 352 194	1 686 292	1 779 038	1 867 990	1 961 389	2 059 459
REPAIRS/MAINTENANCE	34 159	45 302	47 794	50 183	52 692	55 327
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	34 782 499	32 916 027	34 201 576	35 808 315	38 686 035	40 508 564
APPEAR & COLOT BILIDGET	543 974	400 201	525 753	553 000	500 744	200 V04
TOTAL AS PER MTEF	35 325 770	33 415 318	34 728 328	36 361 405	39 266 779	41 118 346
CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	1 301 100	1 359 800	694 675	729 409	1 265 879	961 673
GRAND TOTAL	36 626 870	34 775 118	35 423 003	37 090 813	40 532 658	42 080 019
ANTICIPATED SURPLUS/ (DEFICIT)	0	0	0	0	0	0

Other personnel costs by 4.5% in 2015/206, 5.5% in 2016/2017 and then 5% for all subsequent years Goods and services inflated by 1.055% in 2016/2017 and then by 1.05% for all subsequent years Tribunal members and executive staff salaries inflated by 4% for all years

The budget is based on an estimate of filing fees due as given by the CC to the CT for 2015/2016 to 2017/2018

Annexure C: Detailed One Year Budget - 2015/2016

BUDGET ITEM	ACTIVITY	2015/2016 BUDGET	PERCENTAGE
NCOME			
	FEES RECEIVED	10 670 141	30.68%
	EDD GRANT	19 102 000	54.93%
	ADDITIONAL GRANT REQD	0	0.00%
	BAL BFWD	3 832 976	11.02%
	INTEREST RECEIVED	1 170 000	3.36%
TOTAL INCOME		34 775 117	100%
EXPENDITURE			
PERSONNEL	SALARIES & ALLOWANCES	17 306 284	49.77%
	COMPANY CONTRIBUTIONS	755 574	2.17%
	CASUAL LABOUR	3 600	0.01%
	PERFORMANCE BONUS	866 521	2.49%
PT MEMBERS	TRIBUNAL MEMBERS	3 025 250	8.70%
RAINING	TRAINING LOCAL	118 044	0.34%
_	TRAINING OVERSEAS	113 432	0.33%
	OVERSEAS TRAVEL	226 657	0.65%
	HOTEL ACCOMODATION OVERSEAS	100 238	0.29%
	SUBSISTENCE OVERSEAS	82 887	0.24%
	OECD MEETINGS	97 274	
	BURSARIES AND SCHOLARSHIPS	70 000	0.20%
CONFERENCES AND SEMINARS	CONFERENCES/SEMINARS/STUDY VISITS	392 110	1.13%
	STAFF WORKSHOPS	40 000	
SHARED SERVICES	PROF FEES - CC MANAGEMENT FEE	583 297	1.68%
ACILITY FEE/LEASE	PROF FEES - dti	1 873 249	5.39%
EGAL FEES	LEGAL FEES	0	0.00%
CONSULTING	OTHER	261 432	0.75%
RANSCRIPTION_	RECORDING SERVICES	721 266	2.07%
AUDIT EXPENSES	EXTERNAL FEE	577 796	1.66%
	EXTERNAL AUDIT COMMITTEE AND FPC	445 460	1.28%
	INTERNAL FEE	678 483	1.95%
RECRUIT COSTS	RECRUITMENT FEES	0	0.00%
	STAFF ADVERTISING	0	0.00%
ADMIN EXPENSES	LOCAL TRAVEL	81 500	0.23%
	MILEAGE	70 560	0.20%
	HOTEL ACCOMODATION - LOCAL	42 600	0.12%
	CAR RENTAL	8 400	0.02%

BUDGET ITEM	ACTIVITY	2015/2016 BUDGET	PERCENTAGE
ADMIN EXPENSES	PER DIEM ALLOWANCE	6 720	0.02%
	PARKING	72 770	0.21%
	BANK CHARGES	28 958	0.08%
	REFRESHMENTS	127 503	0.37%
	ENTERTAINMENT	4 200	0.01%
	PRINTING AND STATIONERY	220 308	0.63%
	WEBSITE CONSULTING	0	0.00%
	TECHINICAL/SOFTWARE CONSULTING	346 429	1.00%
	ADVERTISING BROCHURES & PAMPHLETS	262 566	0.76%
	PUBLIC RELATIONS	0	0.00%
	NEWSPAPER AND MAGAZINE SUBSCRIPTION	46 769	0.13%
•	COURIER SERVICES	34 195	0.10%
	POSTAGE AND STAMPS	1 200	0.00%
	TELEPHONES/TELEFAXES	47 503	0.14%
	CELL PHONES	6 900	0.02%
	INTERNET SERVICES	80 007	0.23%
	EMAIL ARCHIVING	46 993	0.14%
	FIRST AID	360	0.00%
	GIFTS AND FLOWERS	10 317	0.03%
	GENERAL HOUSEKEEPING	600	0.00%
	OFFSITE STORAGE	58 091	0.17%
	INSURANCE	100 434	0.29%
THER IT EXPENSES	LEASE- PHOTOCOPIER	144 246	0.41%
	COMPUTER SOFTWARE	546 750	1.57%
	R&M COMPUTERS	995 296	2.86%
REPAIRS/MAINTENANCE	LOOSE TOOLS	20 000	0.06%
	FUEL, R&M MOTOR VECHILES	22 302	0.06%
	REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE	3 000	0.01%
EPRECIATION	DEPRECIATION	1 139 697	3.28%
CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	COMPUTER EQUIPMENT - COST	759 800	2.18%
	INTANGIBLE ASSETS	575 000	1.65%
	FURNITURE AND FITTINGS - COST	0	0.00%
	MOTOR VECHILE - COST	0	0.00%
	OFFICE EQUIPMENT	25 000	0.07%
TOTAL		34 275 827	98.17%
APPEAL COURT		499 291	1.44%
TOTAL EXPENDITURE		34 775 117	99.61%
SURPLUS/ (DEFICIT)		_	

Annexure D: Audited Actual, Estimated and Medium Term Targets

Goal Statement 1: To ensure effective and efficient adjudication on matters brought before the Tribunal.

Ş.			Audite	Audited actual Performance	висе	Target Estimated		Medium Term Targets	zets
			2011/2012	2012/2013	2013/2014	Performance 2014/2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018
Hearings are down within required timeframes	set	% of large mergers to be set down for the beginning of a hearing, within 10 days of filling of the Merger Referral	71%	81%	74%	75%	75%	75%	75%
	% of merg dowr begin heari heari days days for c	% of intermediate mergers to be set down for a the beginning of a hearing or a prehearing, within 10 days of filing of the Request for consideration	71%	57%	100%	100%	75%	75%	75%
Expeditions Conclusion of matters	je je	% Large mergers orders issued to parties within 10 business days of last hearing date	100%	100%	100%	%56	%56	%56	%56
	% Large m reasons i: within 20 business order bein	% Large mergers reasons issued within 20 business days of order being	100%	100%	100%	70%	70%	70%	70%

	ets	2017-2018		%56	%09	A - 100 business days B - 125 business days C - 150 business days	85%	%06
	Medium Term Targets	2016-2017		%56	%09	A - 100 business days B - 125 business days C - 150 business days	85%	%06
		2015-2016		%56	%09	A - 100 business days B - 125 business days C - 150 business days	85%	%06
Target	Estimated	Performance 2014/2015		%56	%09	N/A for this year	85%	%86
	mance	2013/2014	The second second	No orders were issued in this period	No reasons were issued in this period		83%	%86
	Audited actual Performance	2012/2013	10.00	100%	13%	ast .	%68	100%
	And	2011/2012	A SECOND CO.	100%	70%	New annul target	74%	%68
	KPI		issued	% Intermediate mergers orders issued to parties within 10 business days of last hearing date	% Intermediate mergers reasons issued within 20 business days of order being issued	Reasons for Prohibitive Practices Cases issued to parties in accordance with the delivery timeframes per category: A, B or C	% Procedural matters orders issued within 20 business days of the last hearing date	% Consent Orders - orders issued within 10 business days of the last hearing date
	Outcome							
	Strategic objective	Statements						

					The second second	Target			
Strategic objective	Outcome	KPI	Audit	Audited actual Performance	nance	Estimated		Medium Term Targets	gets
statements			2011/2012	2012/2013	2013/2014	Performance 2014/2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018
		% Interim Relief reasons issued within 20 business days of last hearing rlate	No reasons issued during this period	No reasons issued during this period	%0	100%	100%	100%	100%
1.3 Enhancing record keeping, performance information and case flow management by harnessing facility	Improved management information to inform strategic decision making and access to historical data	Enhancement of case management system facility in line with project plan	New annual target	3et		N/A for this year	User Acceptance Testing of Case 360 Phase II development completed by December	Feasibility study of automation opportunities completed by December	Dependent on outcome of feasibility study and associated plans
and functionality of business applications		Reduced reliance on manual performance reporting by 2020 according to agreed plan	New annual target	şet			Plan and process for reporting enhancement established and signed off by December	25% of plan implemented	50% of plan implemented

Goal Statement 2: To build and develop effective stakeholder relationships.

						Target			
Strategic objective	Outcome	KPI	Andi	Audited actual Performance	mance	Estimated		Medium Term Targets	rgets
cilialitatete			2011/2012	2012/2013	2013/2014	Performance 2014/2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018
2.1 Ensure that an	A structured	Communication	New annual target	get		N/A for this	Communication	SERVE SECTION	Review plan
integrated	and focussed	Plan developed and				year	plan approved		based on
Communication	process to	implemented In line					by EXCO by		performance and
plan is developed	create and	with EXCO					September		achievement and
and implemented	enhance	requirement and					2015		obtain approval
	awareness of	agreed timeframes						STATE OF THE PARTY	by June 2017

7
2015)
(March
15-2016
lan 201
ance Pl
erform
nnual P
ibunal A
ition Tri
Competi

						Target	The state of the s		
Strategic objective	Outcome	KPI	Audi	Audited actual Performance	nance	Estimated		Medium Term Targets	gets
Statements		The state of the s	2011/2012	2012/2013	2013/2014	Performance 2014/2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018
	the work of the Tribunal		New annual target	get		N/A for this year		Implement plan against agreed timeframes	Implement plan against agreed timeframes
		Monitored performance and implementation against approved plan	New annual target	get		N/A for this year		Report on implementation against plan by March 2017	
2.2 Ensure communication pertaining to Tribunal's adjudicative process is issued to	Timely and compliant communication of Adjudication outcomes	% of press releases of final merger decisions communicated within 2 business days of order date	78%	93%	%86	75%	75%	75%	75%
the stakeholders within adopted delivery timeframes		% of press releases of final decisions in prohibited practices communicated within 2 business days of order date	78%	%86	%86	100%	100%	700 %	700%
		% of non- confidential version (ncv) reasons posted on website within 2 business days of issue date of ncv	87%	%26	700%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		Number of Tribunal Tribunes published annually and distributed according to agreed distribution list	2 to 71 stakeholders	3 to 69 stakeholders	3 to 86 stakeholders	3 annually	3 annually	3 annually	3 annually

						Target			
Strategic objective	Outcome	KPI	Audi	Audited actual Performance	nance	Estimated	The second second second	Medium Term Targets	gets
statements			2011/2012	2012/2013	2013/2014	Performance 2014/2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018
	Level of	Stakeholder			75%	N/A for this	Planned and		Satisfaction
	stakeholder	satisfaction survey			satisfaction	year	implemented		survey is
	satisfaction	results			tevel		actions against		conducted by
							stakeholder		March 2018 and
A 1000 -							satisfaction		Satisfaction levels
							survey results		מוב / ח/ש
1000									

Goal Statement 3: To ensure the Tribunal has effective strategic leadership, administration and management through adherence to good corporate governance and sound business practices.

se the Accountable Achieve an dransparent unqualified achieve an transparent unqualified unqualified countable Achieve an Sound Business Achieve an Sound Business Achieve an New annual target that resource of the financial unqualified unqualified unqualified server that resource allocation and year on year and transparent unqualified unqualified unqualified several tractice allocation and year on year on year on year on year on year on year allocation and wasteful expenditure and transparent unqualified unqualified unqualified several tractice allocation and financial experiments and transparent several tractice allocation and several tractice and tractice				The state of the s			Target			
Accountable Accountable Achieve an New annual target and transparent unqualified year on year on year on year on year on year financial unqualified and traised financial unqualified and traised unqualified and traised financial unqualified and traised and traised financial unqualified and traised traised traised and traised traised traised traised and traised and traised traised traised and traised traised traised and traised and traised traised and traised and traised trai	Strategic objective	Outcome	KPI	Audite	d actual Perform	Jance	Estimated		Medium Term Tar	gets
se the mpliance mpliance mpliance and transparent trescripts Accountable and transparent unqualified and transparent verscripts Achieve an unqualified and transparent verscripts New annual target New annua	Stateoneric			2011/2012	2012/2013	2013/2014	Performance 2014/2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018
rescripts Public Entity Audit Outcome such transparent unqualified and transparent unqualified bublic Entity year on year Audit Outcome solution and transparent unqualified bublic Entity year on year on year and transparent that resource of sound Business Achieve an New annual target solution and financial unqualified and transparent that resource allocation and year on year and transparent section and year on year and transparent transparent that resource allocation and year on year and transparent trans	3,1 Increase the	Accountable	Achieve an	New annual target	بير		N/A for this	Unqualified	Unqualified	Unqualified audit
rescripts public Entity year on year Sound Business Achieve an New annual target financial optimal for that resource optimal for that resource financial allocation and year on year allocation and year on year short that resource financial and year on year on year finithess of f	level of compliance	and transparent	unqualified				year	audit – no	andit – no	- no issues of
Sound Business Achieve an New annual target rasced raised ractice Audit Outcome year on year Optimal Achieve an New annual target resource Audit Outcome and tractice financial unqualified allocation and year on year	with the prescripts	Public Entity	Audit Outcome					issues of	issues of	governance raised
Sound Business Achieve an New annual target raised raised raised raised raised byears and unqualified want on year and that resource allocation and year on year on year on year on year and to traited and the findings of an and	of good		year on year					governance	governance	
Sound Business Achieve an New annual target N/A for this Practice unqualified unqualified year dudit Outcome year on year on year on year financial unqualified audit Outcome find to sent that resource effective allocation and audit outcome weetstate of the second it is a second to sear on year	governance							raised	raised	
vversight that Practice unqualified year audit – no audit – no that that colid Audit Outcome year on year Audit Outcome year on year New annual target N/A for this financial Unqualified Unqualified int use of steation and target Audit Outcome year on year Audit Outcome year on year Audit Outcome year on year Audit Outcome findings of fruitless/ fruitless/ fruitless/ wasteful	3,2 Maintain	Sound Business	Achieve an	New annual target	لبغ		N/A for this	Unqualified	Unqualified	Unqualified audit
that olid year on year year on year actice ractice cent that resource financial unqualified allocation and makes of size of source wasteful to the cent that resource of the cent that resource allocation and race of size of	effective oversight	Practice	unqualified				year	audit – no	audit – no	- no issues of
rractice raised governance governance governance raised raised raised raised raised raised Unqualified audit—no andit—no andit—no findings of findings of fruitless/ mateful mate of a subjective allocation and year on year	structures that		Audit Outcome					issues of	issues of	governance raised
rractice Dotimal Achieve an New annual target in that resource ent that resource elective allocation and ruse of an ordination ordi	promote solid		year on year					governance	governance	
financial unqualified audit outcome ent that resource effective allocation and a location and leave an New annual target wasteful location and leave an New annual target leaves and leaves	business practice							raised	raised	
financial unqualified audit—no findings of findings of findings of allocation and year on year year on year audit—no aud	3.3 Ensure	Optimal	Achieve an	New annual target			N/A for this	Unqualified	Unqualified	Unqualified audit
ent that resource Audit Outcome findings of fruitless/ fruitless/ wasteful wasteful expenditure expenditure expenditure	financial	financial	unqualified				year	audit – no	andit – no	- no findings of
effective allocation and year on year wasteful wasteful expenditure expenditure expenditure	management that	resource	Audit Outcome					findings of	findings of	fruitless/ wasteful
wasteful and expenditure	promotes effective	allocation and	year on year					fruitless/	fruitless/	expenditure
expenditure	and efficient use of	anocación and						wastefui	wasteful	
	resources			No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Persons and Street, or other P				expenditure	expenditure	

_
2015
(March
15-2016
an 201
ce Pl
l Performan
Annua
Tribunal
Competition

						Target			
Strategic objective	Outcome	KPI	Audite	Audited actual Performance	ance	Estimated		Medium Term Targets	ets
statements			2011/2012	2012/2013	2013/2014	Performance 2014/2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018
	utilisation								
3.4 Ensure a sound control environment and monitor and	Compliance to requirements as an accountable,	Submission against annual deadline and no material	New annual target			N/A for this year	No material misstatements on May submission	No material misstatements on May submission	No material misstatements on May submission
maintain compliance and ensure that all reporting	uansparent	misstatements for May Submission	New annual target	ų		N/A for this year	Annual reporting submission dates met May and July	Annual reporting submission dates met May and July	Annual reporting submission dates met May and July
aet.	Integrated risk management processes and combined assurance	Achieve an unqualified Audit Outcome year on year	New annual target			N/A for this year	Unqualified audit – no issues of risk management raised	Unqualified audit – no issues of risk management raised	Unqualified audit no issues of risk management raised
3.5 Ensure that the Tribunal effectively leverages employee skills by recruiting, retaining and developing high quality people	Strengthen the Tribunal's organisational capacity and performance to deliver on its legislative Mandate	Implementation of Case Management Graduate internships against plan	New annual target			N/A for this year	Graduate internship policy and plan implemented	Graduate internship targets meet plan requirements	Graduate internship targets meet plan requirements

Annexure E: Annual performance indicators, quarterly and annual targets

Goal Statement 1: To ensure effective and efficient adjudication on matters brought before the Tribunal.

	Actual - Ytd			
	Budget	R 19 174 141,26		
	0.4	75%	%	%56
Target	03	75%	%57	%56
	0.2	75%	% (2)	%56
	0.1	75%	% 5 7	%56
	Annual	75%	%5/	%56
Bace Line		75%	%49/	100%
I D.X		% of large mergers to be set down for the beginning of a hearing or a pre- hearing, within 10 days of filing of the Merger Referral	To or intermediate mergers to be set down for a the beginning of a hearing, within 10 days of filing of the Request for consideration	% Large merger orders issued to parties within 10 business days of last hearing date
Outcome		Hearings are set down within required timeframes		Expeditious Conclusion of matters
		1.1 Matters brought before the Tribunal are heard within the adopted delivery time frames		1.2 Improvement in the Issuing of judgments/ decisions in line

	Actual - Ytd					
	Budget					
	0.4	70%	%26	90%	A - 100 business days B - 125 business days C - 150 business days	85%
Target	03	70%	%56	%09	A - 100 business days B - 125 business days C - 150 business days	85%
	0.2	70%	95%	%09	A - 100 business days B - 125 business days C - 150 business days	85%
	0.1	70%	95%	%09	A-100 business days B-125 business days C-150 business days	85%
	Annual	70%	%56	%09	A - 100 business days B - 125 business days C - 150 business days	85%
Boco i		%89	100%	41%	New annual target	82%
ia X		% Large merger reasons issued within 20 business days of order being issued	% Intermediate merger orders issued to parties within 10 business days of last hearing date	% Intermediate merger reasons issued within 20 business days of order being issued	Reasons for Prohibitive Practices Cases issued to parties in accordance with the delivery timeframes per category: A, B or C	% Procedural matter orders issued to parties
e model						
		with adopted timeframes				

15)
20.
March
2015-2016 (
Pla
Performance
Annual
unal
芭
ompetition "
U

	Actual - Ytd					
	Budget		R 1 589 693.56			
	0.4		%06	100%	User Acceptance Testing of Case 360 Phase II development completed by December	Plan and process for reporting enhancement established and signed off by
Target	0.3		%06	100%		
	0.2		%06	100%		
	0.1		%06	100%		
	Annual		%06	100%	User Acceptance Testing of Case 360 Phase II development completed by December	Plan and process for reporting enhancement established and signed off by December
Racalina	Trans.		%96	%0	New annual target	New annual target
10.3		within 20 business days of last hearing date	% Consent order orders issued to parties within 10 business days of last hearing date	% Interim relief reasons issued to parties within 20 business days of last hearing date	Enhancement of case management system facility in line with project plan	Reduced reliance on manual performance reporting by 2020 according to agreed plan
Orthograp					Improved management information to inform strategic decision making and access to historical data	
					1.3 Enhancing record keeping, performance information and case flow management by harnessing facility and functionality of	business

	Actual - Ytd	
	Budget	
	0.4	December
Target	0.3	
	0.2	
	Annual Q.1	
Racelina	2000	
idX		
Outrome	outroille	

Goal Statement 2: To build and develop effective stakeholder relationships.

	Actual			
	Budget	R 834 756.18		
	0.4	Implement plan against agreed timeframes	75%	100%
Target	0.3	Implement plan against agreed timeframes	75%	100%
E	0.2	Comm plan approved by EXCO by September 2015	/5%	100%
	0.1	Comm plan developed and first draft presented to EXCO by June 2015	75%	100%
	Annual	Comm plan, developed, approved and implemented against agreed timeframes	75%	100%
Race Line		New annual target (previously communication activities were attended to but no integrated strategy)	Mergers 90%	Prohibited practices 93%
e d		Communication (Comm) Plan developed and implemented In line EXCO requirements and agreed timeframes	% press releases of final merger	decisions and prohibited practice decisions communicated within 2 business days of order date
Outrome		A structured and focussed process to create and enhance awareness of the work of the Tribunal	compliant	communicatio n of Adjudication outcomes
Strategic	Statement	2.1 Ensure that an integrated Communication plan is developed and implemented	2.2 Ensure communication	pertaining to final decisions in mergers and prohibited cases are made public within adopted delivery

	Actual			
Budget				
	Ω4	75%	3 annually	100% Corrective actions implemented as per action plan
Target	Q3	75%		60% of Corrective actions implemented as per action plan
Та	2.2	75%		25% of Corrective actions implemented as per action plan
	01	75%		Develop Corrective action plan and timeframes for implementing by end June 2015
	Annual	75%	3 annually	100% corrective actions implemented as per action plan
Base Line		Website - new target no baseline	3 to 75 annually	Adjudicative process - 75% stakeholder satisfaction
KPI		% of non- confidential version (ncv) of reasons posted on website within 2 business days of issue date of ncv	Number of Tribunal Tribunes published annually and distributed according to agreed distribution list	Planned and implemented actions against stakeholder satisfaction survey results
Outcome				Level of stakeholder satisfaction
Strategic Objective	Statement	timeframes		2.3 identify and address stakeholder needs and expectations in order to meet or exceed requirements

Goal Statement 3: To ensure the Tribunal has effective strategic leadership, administration and management through adherence to good corporate governance and sound business practices.

	Actual - Ytd				
Budget		R 4 575 294.44		R 3 550 933.41	
	0,4				
	03		x.		
Target	02	Unqualified audit – no issues of governance raised	Unqualified audit – no issues of governance raised	Unqualified audit –no findings of fruitless/ wasteful expenditure	No Material Misstateme nts on May submission
	101				
	Annual	Unqualified audit – no issues of governance raised	Unqualified audit – no issues of governance raised	Unqualified audit-no findings of fruitless /wasteful expenditure	No material misstatement on May submission
Base Line		New annual target	New annual target	New annual target	New annual target
KPI		Achieve an unqualified Audit Outcome year on year	Achieve an unqualified Audit Outcome year on year	Achieve an unqualified Audit Outcome year on year	No material misstatements for May submission
Outcome		Accountable and transparent Public Entity	Sound Business Practice	Optimal financial resource allocation and utilisation	Compliance to requirements as an accountable,
Strategic Objective	Statement	3.1 Increase the level of compliance with the prescripts of good	3.2 Maintain effective oversight structures that promote solid business practice	3.3 Ensure financial management that promotes effective and efficient use of resources	3.4 Ensure a sound control environment and monitor

2015)
(March
2015-2016
e Plan
Performance
Annua
Tribunal
Competition

	Actual - Ytd			
Budget				R 2 266 140.05
	0.4			Graduate internship targets meet plan requireme nts
at.	03			Graduate internship targets meet plan requiremen ts
Target	07	Annual reporting submission dates met May and July	Unqualified audit –no issues of risk managemen t raised	Graduate internship targets meet plan requiremen ts
	0,1			Graduate internship policy and plan implemented by April
	Annuai	Annual reporting submission dates met May and July	Unqualified audit – no issues of risk management raised	Graduate internship implemented
Base Line			New annual target	New annual target
Ϋ́		Submission against annual deadiine	Achieve an unqualified Audit Outcome year on year	Implementation of Case Management Graduate internships against plan
Outcome		transparent institution	Integrated risk management processes and combined assurance	Strengthen the Tribunal's organisational capacity and performance to deliver on its legislative Mandate
Strategic	Statement	compliance and ensure that all reporting requirements are met		3.5 Ensure that the Tribunal effectively leverages employee skills by recruiting, retaining and developing high quality people

Annexure F: Expenditure allocation by objective IMTEF submission July 2014

				-		Ехреп-			
					Average	diture/			
					growth	total:			
	Audited	Audited	Audited	Revised	rate	Average			
	Outcome	Outcome	Outcome	estimate	(%)	(%)	Mediun	Medium-term estimate	ii.
R thousand	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2011/12 - 2014/15	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
Administration	8 188	10 295	14 168	15 648	24.1%	40.8%	14 871	15 567	16 332
Promote and maintain competition within South Africa	13 511	14 405	16 179	15 632	2.0%	51.9%	16 146	16 906	17 662
Educate and create awareness of competition matters to tribu	559	622	729	782	11.9%	2.3%	828	873	917
Enhance the expertise of tribunal staff	628	991	980	1 424	31.4%	3.4%	1 072	855	898
Competition Appeal Court	402	476	429	543	10.5%	1.6%	499	226	553
	ı	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	t	ı
,	t	1	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	1
	ı	1	I	ı	1	1	1	ı	ı
	1	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	t
•	1	t	t	1	1	ı	1	ı	ı
Total expense	23 287	26 789	32 485	34 029	13.5%	100.0%	33 416	34 727	36 362

Annexure G: Materiality Framework

Materiality Framework in terms of Treasury Regulation 28.3.1

1 Definitions

Accounting Authority: The Competition Tribunal Chairperson Executive Authority: Minister of Economic Development

PFMA: The Public Finance Management Act (Act 1 of 1999 as amended by Act 29 of 1999)

Treasury Regulations as issued in terms of section 76 of the Public Finance Management Act, 1999.

2 Introduction

28.3.1 For purposes of material [section 55(2) the Act] and significant [section 54(2) of the Act], the accounting authority must develop and agree a framework of acceptable levels of materiality and significance with the relevant executive authority.

3 Framework

FFINA	Section	Quantitative [Amount]	Qualitative [Nature]
		Materiality figure for the year ending March 2016 is R 444 000	
S55			
Annual	report and financial statements		
(PFMA !	Section 55)		
	annual report and financial statements referred to in ion (1) (d) must—		
busines predete	y present the state of affairs of the public entity, its s, its financial results, its performance against ermined objectives and its financial position as at the end inancial year concerned;		
(b) incl	ude particulars of—		
(i)	any material losses through criminal conduct and any irregular expenditure and fruitless and wasteful expenditure that occurred during the financial year:	Losses through criminal conduct –any loss identified.	Any identified loss through criminal conduct.
		Losses through irregular fruitless / wasteful expenditure.	Conducti
(ii)	any criminal or disciplinary steps taken as a		
	consequence of such losses or irregular expenditure or		
	fruitless and wasteful expenditure;		
(iii)	any losses recovered or written off;		
(iv)	any financial assistance received from the state and	7.6	
(v)	commitments made by the state on its behalf; and any other matters that may be prescribed; and		

PFMA Section	Quantitative [Amount]	Qualitative [Nature]
S54		
Information to be submitted by accounting authorities (PFMA section 54) (2) Before a public entity concludes any of the following transactions, the accounting authority for the public entity must promptly and in writing inform the relevant treasury of the transaction and submit relevant particulars of the transaction to its executive authority for approval of the transaction:		
(b) participation in a <u>significant</u> partnership, trust, unincorporated joint venture or similar arrangement;	Not applicable	Not applicable
(c) acquisition or disposal of a <u>significant</u> shareholding in a company;	Not applicable	Not applicable
(d) acquisition or disposal of a <u>significant</u> asset; (e) commencement or cessation of a <u>significant</u> business activity	Not applicable Not applicable	Any asset that would increase or decrease the overall operational functions of the Tribunal, outside of the approved strategic plan and budget
		Not applicable

4 Authorisation

This framework was adopted by the Tribunal in September 2014

Determination of Materiality

Materiality bases	% max	2013/2014 (audited)	1%
Gross Revenue (excl. EDD grant)	1	11 860 104.65	118 601.05
Total expenses	1	32 484 097.29	324 840.97
Materiality figure			443 442.02

- Due to the nature of the business of the Competition Tribunal (it is not a capital intensive business) the best indicator with regard to business activity is revenue and expenditure. The Tribunal has taken the average of 1% of actual revenue and actual expenditure in 2013/2014 to determine the materiality figure for 2015/2016.
- Using the calculation described above the Tribunal's recommended materiality figure for 2015/2016 is R 444 000.00.

Annexure H: Technical Indicator Descriptions

(DUE TO THE SIZE OF THIS DOCUMENT IT IS SUBMITTED AS A SEPARATE DOCUMENT)