COMPETITION TRIBUNAL REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Case No: 43/CR/Jun11

ln	the	matter	between:

The Competition Commission

Applicant

and

MGK Bedryfsmaatskappy (Pty) Ltd

Respondent

Panel

N Manoim (Presiding Member), Y Carrim (Tribunal

Member), and A Wessels (Tribunal Member)

Heard on

16 August 2011

Decided on :

16 August 2011

Order

The Tribunal hereby confirms the order as agreed to and proposed by the Competition Commission and the respondent, annexed hereto marked "A".

Presiding Member

N Manoim

Concurring: Y Carrim and A Wessels

1

IN THE COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA HELD IN PRETORIA

CT Case No. CC Case No. 2009Mar4349

In the matter between: Applicant THE COMPETITION COMMISSION and 12th Respondent MGK BEDRYFSMAATSKAPPY (PTY) LIMITED In re: **Applicant** COMPETITION COMMISSION and 1st Respondent AFGRI OPERATIONS LIMITED 2nd Respondent **SENWES LIMITED** 3rd Respondent **NWK LIMITED** 4th Respondent **OVK OPERATIONS LIMITED** 5th Respondent SUIDWES (PTY) LIMITED 6th Respondent VRYSTAAT KOÖPERASIE BEPERK 7th Respondent **OVERBERG AGRI (PTY) LIMITED** 8th Respondent DIE HUMANSDORPSE KOÖPERASIE BEPERK 9th Respondent SENTRAAL-SUID KOÖPERASIE BEPERK 10th Respondent **GWK LIMITED** 11th Respondent KAAP AGRI BEDRYF LIMITED 12th Respondent MGK BEDRYFSMAATSKAPPY (PTY) LIMITED 13th Respondent TUINROETE AGRI BEPERK 14th Respondent MOREESBURGSE KORINGBOERE (EDMS) BEPERK 15th Respondent TWK LANDBOU BEPERK

NTK LIMPOPO AGRIC BEPERK

GRAIN SILO INDUSTRY

CONSENT AGREEMENT IN TERMS OF SECTION 49D READ WITH SECTION 58(1)(a)(iii) and 58(1)(b) OF THE COMPETITION ACT, 1998 (ACT NO. 89 OF 1998), AS AMENDED, BETWEEN THE COMPETITION COMMISSION ("THE COMMISSION") AND MGK BEDRYFSMAATSKAPPY (PTY) LIMITED ("MGK"), IN RESPECT OF AN ALLEGED CONTRAVENTION OF SECTION 4(1)(b)(i) OF THE COMPETITION ACT, 1998 ("THE ACT").



16th Respondent

17th Respondent

The Commission and MGK hereby agree that application be made to the *Tribunal* for the confirmation of this Consent Agreement in terms of section 58 (1)(a)(iii) as read with section 58(1)(b) of the Competition Act, 1998 (Act No. 89 of 1998), as amended, on the terms set out below:

1. Definitions

For the purposes of this Consent Agreement the following definitions shall apply:

- 1.1. "Act" means the Competition Act, 1998 (Act No. 89 of 1998), as amended;;
- 1.2. "Commission" means the Competition Commission of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 19 of the Act, with its principal place of business at 1st Floor, Mulayo Building (Block C), the dti Campus, 77 Meintjies Street, Sunnyside, Pretoria, Gauteng;
- 1.3. "Commissioner" means the Commissioner of the Commission, appointed in terms of section 22 of the Act;
- initiated by the Commissioner in terms of section 49B of the Act, including a complaint concerned with allegations of price fixing in terms of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act initiated on 17 March 2009 as well as an expanded initiation on 25 May 2010 after the decision was made to include all the members and shareholders of the Grain Silo Industry;
- 1.5. "Consent Agreement" means this agreement duly signed and concluded between the Commission and MGK;
- "Grain Silo Industry (Pty) Ltd" is a private company duly incorporated in accordance with the company laws of the Republic of South Africa, having its registered offices at Lynwood Corporate Park, Alkantrantstraat, Lynwood Manor, Pretoria, Gauteng Province. The GSI represents its members in public forums wherein matters related to the storage and trading of grain and oilseeds are discussed and provides specialist research services that members may request on an ad-hoc basis. The GSI represents its constituent members in interactions with the Agricultural Products Division of the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (the "APD" previously "SAFEX").

- 1.7. "MGK" means MGK Bedryfsmaatskappy (Pty) Limited, a company registered and incorporated in accordance with the laws of the Republic of South-Africa with registration number 1998/001675/07 and with its registered office, in the alternative its main place of business, at 1st Floor Plaza Building, 45 Van Velden Street, Brits 0250;
- 1.8. "Parties" means the Commission and MGK;
- 1.9. "Respondent" means for purposes of this agreement MGK;
- 1.10. "Respondents" means Respondents one (1) to seventeen (17) described above;
- 1.11. "SAFEX" means the South African Futures Exchange which was established to provide market participants with a price determination mechanism and a price risk management facility through which they can manage their exposure to adverse price movements in the underlying commodity.
- 1.12. "Tribunal" means the Competition Tribunal of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 26 of the Act, with its principal place of business at 3rd Floor, Mulayo building (Block C), the dti Campus, 77 Meintijies Street, Sunnyside, Pretoria, Gauteng.

2. The Complaint and Complaint Investigation

- 2.1. On 17 March 2009 the Commissioner initiated a complaint against Afgri Operations Limited ("Afgri"), Senwes Limited ("Senwes"), Noord-Wes Koöperasie Limited ("NWK"), OVK Operations Limited ("OVK"), Suidwes (Pty) Limited ("Suidwes"), Vrystaatse Koöperasie Limited ("VKB") and the Grain Silo Industry ("GSI") for alleged contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act.
- 2.2. The investigation revealed that the storage rate is agreed to and assented to not only by the entities against whom the original complaints initiation was made, but by all members and shareholders of GSI. In the circumstances, on 25 May 2010 the Commissioner expanded the investigation to refer to all seventeen (17) respondents.

2.3. The Commission conducted its investigation and concluded that:

2.3.1. the respondents and GSI have contravened section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act. The essence of the conduct complained of is that the respondents and GSI have contravened section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act in that they fix the prices of the daily storage tariff for the storage of grain. This is done for application throughout the Republic. The first to sixteenth respondents are all former cooperatives who own grain storage silos and provide other agricultural services and are competitors in the market for grain storage.

2.4. The Commission found that:

Notwithstanding the fact that they are competitors, the first to sixteenth respondents are all shareholders or members of the GSI. Although the GSI is a private company, it amounts to an industry association for members of the grain storage industry. SAFEX placed the onus for the determination of the storage rate on the GSI on the basis that it had the necessary knowledge and understanding of the costs involved in providing storage. Until 2008, SAFEX requested the standardised tariff from the GSI on an annual basis. In 2008, as is set out below, the GSI declined to provide the standardised storage tariff to SAFEX any longer on account of the Commission's contentions that it and its members were contravening section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act.

It was the GSI's technical committee that was responsible for fixing the daily storage tariff on behalf of the GSI and its members. In response to requests from SAFEX, the GSI consulted its shareholders. The shareholders submitted individual proposals as to the appropriate storage rate to GSI. These rates were collated and evaluated by the GSI's technical committee, the members of which are from competing silo companies. The technical committee then decided on a rate and this was then submitted to SAFEX on behalf of GSI and its shareholders.

The essence of the conduct complained of is that the daily storage tariff proposed by GSI is agreed to and assented to by all of the

2.4.2.

2.4.1.

2.4.3.

respondents. Given that the first to sixteenth respondents are all competitors in the provision of storage services, the joint determination of the daily storage rate amounts to prohibited price fixing in that it quite simply amounts to an agreement between firms in a horizontal relationship for the direct fixing of storage prices.

2.4.4.

The manner in which the SAFEX storage tariff is determined is, in the Commission's view, restrictive of competition. In addition to agreeing to the SAFEX rate, the respondents exchanged detailed cost information in addition, the storage tariff determined for SAFEX purposes has been used to determine storage fees in respect of sales transactions in the physical market. This amount to collusion.

2.5. The Commission took a decision to refer to the Tribunal its complaint that is described above.

3. Statement of conduct by MGK

MGK admits that it participated, as a member of the GSI, in the fixing of the daily grain storage tariff in contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act as described above.

4. Administrative Payment

- 4.1. Having regard to the provisions of sections 58(1)(a)(iii) as read with sections 59(1)(a), 59(2) and 59(3) of the Act, MGK accepts that a contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) may lead to the imposition of an administrative penalty where the *Tribunal* deems it appropriate.
- 4.2. The parties have agreed that *MGK* will pay an administrative penalty in the amount of R 226 800.
- 4.3. This amount constitutes 4% (four per cent) of the total grain sile storage turnover for the 2009 financial year;
- 4.4. MGK will pay the amount set out in paragraph 4.2 above to the Commission within 10 (ten) days of confirmation of this Consent Agreement by the Tribunal.

. \

4.5. This payment shall be made into the *Commission's* bank account, details of which are as follows:

Bank name:

Absa Bank

Branch name:

Pretoria

Account holder:

Competition Commission Fees Account

Account number:

4050778576

Account type:

Current Account

Branch Code:

323 345

4.6. The payment will be paid over by the Commission to the National Revenue Fund in accordance with section 59(4) of the Act.

5. Agreement Concerning Future Conduct

- 5.1. MGK agrees to fully cooperate with the Commission in relation to the prosecution of the complaint referral. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, MGK specifically agrees to:
- 5.1.1. Testify in the complaint referral (if any) in respect of alleged contraventions covered by this Consent Agreement; and
- 5.1.2. To the extent that it is in existence, provide evidence, written or otherwise, which is in its possession or under its control, concerning the alleged contraventions contained in this *Consent Agreement*.
- 5.2. MGK agrees that it will in future refrain from the provision of contractual undertakings that have the potential to constitute contraventions of section 4(1)(b) of the Act.
- 5.3. MGK shall continue with developing, implementing and monitoring its competition law compliance programme incorporating corporate governance designed to ensure that its employees, management, directors and agents do not engage in future contraventions of the Competition Act. In particular, MGK shall:

, ,

continue to implement a competition policy and compliance 5.3.1. programme;

continue to provide training on competition law compliance on issues 5.3.2. particularly relevant to MGK and its employees and officials;

update the competition policy and training annually after confirmation 5.3.3. of this Consent Order and continue to do so on an annual basis to ensure MGK's continued compliance with the Act.

the MGK shall submit a copy of its compliance programme 5.4. Commission within 60 days of the date of confirmation of the Consent Agreement by the Tribunal.

Full and Final Settlement 6.

This agreement, upon confirmation as an order by the Tribunal, is entered into in full and final settlement and concludes all proceedings between the Commission and MGK relating to any alleged contravention by the Respondents of the Act that is the subject of the Commission's investigation under case no 2009MAR4349.

Dated and signed at

BRITS on the 307/day of MAY

2011.

For MGK

lef Executive Officer

For the Commission

Competition Commissioner

3 June 2011, Pretona