



competitiontribunal
south africa

fax form

to	Vani Chetty Vani Chetty Competition Law (Pty) Ltd	fax	011 880 5773
	Legal Services Competition Commission		44283
ref	06/LM/Jan12	date	08 March 2012
from	Tebogo Mputle	pages	5 (including this page)
re	Reasons		

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone.

Attached please find the Tribunal's reasons for the decision in the above matter.

Regards

Tebogo Mputle

CC: Mogalane Matsimela - 44303



COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA

Case No: 06/LM/Jan12

In the matter between:

**Sycom Property Fund Collective
Investment Scheme in property**

Acquiring Firm

And

**Grapnel Property Investments (Pty) Ltd and
Changing Tides 91 (Pty) Ltd**

Target Firm

Panel	:	Norman Manoim (Presiding Member) Yasmin Carrim (Tribunal Member) Takalani Madima (Tribunal Member)
Heard on	:	15 February 2012
Order issued on	:	15 February 2012
Reasons issued on	:	08 March 2012

Reasons for Decision

Approval

[1] On 15 February 2012 the Competition Tribunal ("Tribunal") approved the merger between Sycom Property Fund Collective Investment in property and two firms, namely Grapnel Property Investments (Pty) Ltd and Changing Tides 91 (Pty) Ltd. The reasons for approving the proposed transaction follow below.

Parties to the transaction

[2] The primary acquiring firm is Sycom Property Fund Collective Investment in property ("Sycom"), a company who either directly or indirectly invests in rental property in the retail and office space sectors in Gauteng and the Western Cape. Pre-merger, Sycom owns a 70% interest in Paarl Mall (Western Cape).

[3] One of the primary target firms is Grapnel Property Investments (Pty) Ltd ("Grapnel") in respect of a 15% interest in Paarl Mall, located in the Western Cape and a 100% interest in a property letting enterprise known as Cadbury Office Block, located in Woodmead, Gauteng. Grapnel is a property fund development, investment and management company.

[4] The second primary target firm is Changing Tides 91 (Pty) Ltd ("Changing Tides") in respect of a 15% interest in Paarl Mall. Changing Tides is a property development and property investment company.

Proposed transaction

[5] The proposed transaction is structured into three components as follows:

- a. Sycom's acquisition of Cadbury Office Block from Grapnel as a going concern.
- b. Sycom's acquisition of a 15% undivided share of Paarl Mall held by Grapnel.
- c. Sycom's acquisition of a 15% undivided share of Paarl Mall held by Changing Tides.

[6] As a result of the transaction, Sycom will increase its current 70% interest to a 100% interest in Paarl Mall by acquiring the remaining 30% from the target firms and will therefore exercise sole control over both Paarl Mall and Cadbury Office Block.

Rationale for transaction

[7] The primary acquiring firm already holds a 70% interest in Paarl Mall and would like to exercise sole control over Paarl Mall. The primary acquiring firms feels that the acquisition of Cadbury Office Block would be a good investment opportunity.

[8] Grapnel wishes to reduce its debt and increase its capital for further development opportunities.

Impact on competition

[9] There is an overlap between the activities of the parties in the geographic market for minor regional centres in the Paarl/ Wellington/ Franschhoek node in the Western Cape Province due to Sycom's pre-merger 70% interest in Paarl Mall.

[10] An overlap exists in the market for rentable A-grade office space in the Greater Woodmead node, located in the Gauteng Province, as both Sycom and Grapnel own various A-grade office spaces in such node. As a result of the transaction, Sycom's estimated market share in the relevant market will increase from 14.04% to 14.43% and therefore the proposed transaction is unlikely to substantially prevent or lessen competition.

Public interest

[11] The merging parties confirmed that there will be no adverse effect on employment as a result of the proposed transaction.¹ No other public interest issues arise as a result of this transaction.

CONCLUSION

[12] We conclude that the proposed transaction is unlikely to substantially prevent or lessen competition in any relevant market. Furthermore, the

¹ See page 110 of the record.

proposed transaction raises no public interest concerns. Accordingly,
we approve the proposed merger unconditionally.


NORMAN MANOIM

08 March 2012
DATE

Yasmin Carrim and Takalani Madima concurring.

Tribunal researcher:	Nicola Ilgner
For the merging parties:	Vani Chetty Competition law
For the Commission:	Mogalane Matsimela

* * * Communication Result Report (8.Mar. 2012 16:50) * * *

1}

Date/Time: 8.Mar. 2012 16:22

File No.	Mode	Destination	Pg(s)	Result	Page Not Sent
8074	Memory TX	00118805773	P. 5	OK	
	tebogo	44283		OK	
		44303		OK	

Reason for error

E. 1) Hang up or line fail
 E. 3) No answer
 E. 5) Exceeded max. E-mail size

E. 2) Busy
 E. 4) No facsimile connection

**fax form**

to	Vani Chetty Vani Chetty Competition Law (Pty) Ltd	fax	011 880 5773
	Legal Services Competition Commission		44283
ref	06/LM/Jan12	date	08 March 2012
from	Tebogo Mputle	pages	5 (including this page)
re	Reasons		

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone.

Attached please find the Tribunal's reasons for the decision in the above matter.

Regards

Tebogo Mputle

CC: Mogaleane Matsimela - 44303