

COMPETITION TRIBUNAL
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Case No: 07/CR/Mar10

In the matter between:

The Competition Commission

Applicant

and

Zedek Trading 799 CC

Respondent

Panel : N Manoim (Presiding Member), Y Carrim (Tribunal Member), and A Wessels (Tribunal Member)

Heard on : 9 June 2010 and 7 July 2010

Decided on : 7 July 2010

Order

The Tribunal hereby confirms the order as agreed to and proposed by the Competition Commission and the respondent, annexed hereto marked "A".



N Manoim

Y Carrim and A Wessels concurring

**BEFORE THE COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA
(HELD IN PRETORIA)**

**CT CASE NO: 07/CR/Mar10
CC CASE NO: 2009Mar4335**

In the matter between:

COMPETITION COMMISSION

Applicant

and

ANIX TRADING 799 CC

1st Respondent

ZEDEK TRADING 799 CC

2nd Respondent

In re:

COMPETITION COMMISSION

Applicant

and

ANIX TRADING 739 CC


1st Respondent

ZEDEK TRADING 799 CC

2nd Respondent

**SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COMPETITION COMMISSION AND
ZEDEK TRADING 799 CC IN RESPECT OF CONTRAVENTIONS OF SECTION
4(1)(b) OF THE COMPETITION ACT NO 89 OF 1998**

The Competition Commission and Zedek Trading 799 CC ("Zedek") hereby agree that application be made to the Competition Tribunal for confirmation of this Settlement Agreement as an order of the Tribunal in terms of sections 58(1)(a)(iii) and 59(1)(a) of the Competition Act No.89 of 1998, as amended, on the terms set out below:



Handwritten signature

1. Definitions

In this Settlement Agreement, unless the context indicates otherwise, the following definitions shall apply:

- 1.1 **"Anix"** means Anix Trading 739 cc, a close corporation duly registered in terms of the company laws of the Republic of South Africa, with its principal place of business at Fo-Kuang Road, Cultura Park, Bronkhorspruit ("Anix").
- 1.2 **"Commission"** means the Competition Commission of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 19 of the Act as a juristic person, with its principal place of business at Building C, Mulayo Building, DTI Campus, 77 Meintjies Street, Sunnyside, Pretoria, South Africa.
- 1.3 **"Commissioner"** means the Commissioner of the Competition Commission appointed in terms of section 22 of the Act;
- 1.4 **"Complaint"** means the complaint lodged by Mesele Thulare in terms of section 49 B of the Act against Anix and Zedek for alleged contravention of section 4(1)(b)(iii) of the Act under case number 2009Mar4335;
- 1.5 **"Respondents"** means Zedek and Anix.
- 1.6 **"Settlement Agreement"** means this settlement agreement duly signed and concluded between the Commission and Zedek;
- 1.7 **"the Act"** means the Competition Act No. 89 of 1998, as amended;

1.8 "**Tribunal**" means the Competition Tribunal of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 26 of the Act as a Tribunal of record, with its principal place of business at Building C, Mulayo Building, DTI Campus, 77 Meintjies Street, Sunnyside, Pretoria;

1.9 "**Zedek**" means Zedek Trading 799 cc, a close corporation duly registered in terms of the company laws of the Republic of South Africa, with its principal place of business at number 79 Simonsberg, Bosendal Street, Equestria.

2. Complaint investigation and findings

2.1 During March 2009, the Commission received a complaint from the National Treasury concerning allegations of collusive tendering against the Respondents. Following from this, the Commission summoned and interviewed those alleged to be involved in the alleged conduct. Of those summoned, Mrs Reineth Masemola of Anix Trading provided evidence of the alleged collusion. She confirmed that Anix and Zedek had had discussions on pricing relating to their tender submissions for State Tender RT11-2009 R, for the supply and delivery of animal feed to the state for the period 01 May 2009 to 30 April 2011.

2.2 The Commission's investigation established that:

- (i) the Respondents discussed the cost price of the goods that were the subject of the tender as well as their respective tender prices.

MS
17

(ii) this conduct had persisted for a considerable period of time as the respondents normally discussed their respective tender prices whenever they responded to an invitation to tender.

2.3 The Commission referred its findings to the Tribunal on 8 March 2010.

3. Settlement discussions

Shortly after receiving the referral papers, Zedek's representative approached the Commission with the view of settling the matter. He indicated that Zedek has no defence to the Commission's allegations and that it had contravened the Act in ignorance as the Respondents did not know that their conduct amounted to a contravention and that it would not be putting up a defence or an answer to the referral.

4. Admissions

Zedek admits that it has contravened section 4(1)(b)(iii) of the Act in that it and Anix discussed their respective bids and prices in respect of Government tender number RT11-2009R for the supply and delivery of animal feed to all nine provinces of the Republic.

5. Agreement concerning future conduct

Zedek agrees and undertakes to refrain from engaging in collusive tendering in contravention of section 4(1)(b)(iii) of the Act.

M. J.

6. Administrative penalty


6.1 Zedek agrees that it is liable for an administrative penalty in terms of sections 58(1)(a)(iii), 59(2) and (3) of the Act. It shall pay an amount of R 40 000.00 within 90 days of the confirmation of this agreement as an order of the Tribunal.

6.2 The penalty will be paid over by the Commission to the National Revenue Fund in accordance with the provisions of section 59(4) of the Act.

7. Full and final settlement


This Settlement Agreement, upon confirmation thereof as an order by the Tribunal, concludes all proceedings between the Commission and Zedex in relation to the contravention of section 4(1)(b)(iii) of the Act investigated under the Commission's case number: 2009Mar4335.

DATED at PRETORIA on this the 06th day of JULY 2010.



Duly authorised signatory of Zedek

DATED at Pretoria on this the 06 day of July 2010.



Shan Ramburuth
Commissioner, Competition Commission