COMPETITION TRIBUNAL REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA


Case No: 06/CR/Mar10

In the matter	betw	reen:				
The Competit	tion C	Applicant				
and						
Masana Petroleum Solutions (Pty) Ltd Respondent						
Panel	:	N Manoim (Presiding Member), Y Carrim (Tribunal Member), and A Wessels (Tribunal Member)				
Heard on		23 June 2010				
Decided on	:	23 June 2010				
Order						

The Tribunal hereby confirms the order as agreed to and proposed by the Competition Commission and the respondent, annexed hereto marked "A".

N Wanoim

Y ∉arrim and A Wessels concurring



competitiontribunal

Form CT 6

About this Form

This Form is issued in terms of the Competition Tribunal Rules.

Please indicate in the space provided the nature of your motion, including specific reference to the relevant section of the Act or Tribunal Rules.


If this Notice of Motion concerns a matter being brought in terms of Division E of Part 4 of the Competition Tribunal Rules, it must comply with the requirements of Competition Tribunal Rule 42(3).

Contacting the Tribunal

The Competition Tribunal Private Bag X24 Sunnyside 0132 Republic of South Africa tel: 27 12 394 3300 fax: 27 12 394 0169 e-mail: ctsa@comptrib.co.za

Notice of Motion

8-Jun-2010 Date:	File #File #
To: The registra	r of the Competition Tribunal
_	o matter between: ION COMMISSION (Applicant)
and MASANA PETR	OLEUM SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD (Respondent)
Take notice that intends to apply	the APPLICANT to the Tribunal for the following order:
Competition Commiss terms of Section 49D	onsent Agreement entered into between the sion and the Respondent as a Consent Order in of the Competition Act, 1998 (as amended), read and Tribunal Rule 24
	2010 -08- 08 RECEIVED BY: Mongoul
	TIME: 10 1.15
Name and Title o Wendy Mkwananzi: Ch	f person authorised to sign:
Authorised Signa	ture: Date:
For Office Tribur Use Only:	nal file number: Date filed:



competitiontribunal

Form CIA(1) About this Form nthis former issued in Perm of Section 50 of the Corp pertition Act and Rules Inistrictoristo occused ou for a feleral by the Gorga petition Codoussion Unics this is a consent proceeding the respondent enumer answer unit repetation within 20 business day after being served with this rejetal. with this release. If the an wer also forly a pronto flaw arbinists evolution to the response of the release o Anialle ation of race set out in the complaint Recently that specification the characters of the complaint o Per a recomposition in Antonial Ruic Mathodal 197 Forme on the standard (Pontaetine) The sconfement in burghts. First of the second and the second sec ស្រួតព្រះប្រជាជនជាទីកំពុំនៅក្នុងស្រួតគឺរ mail: cicalelearaidelear

Referral	of	Complaint	by	Commission

Date: 04 March 2010

To: the Registrar of the Competition Tribunal, and:
(Name of respondent and [if applicable] other participants:) Chevron SA (Phy) Ltd, Engen Ltd, Shell SA (Phy) Ltd, Total SA (Phy) Ltd, Masana Petroleum Solution (Phy) Ltd, Southern African Bitumen Asseus Salah Limited, and Tosas (Phy) Ltd Concerning:
Concerning:
(Complaint name and Commission file number:) Competition Commission V Chevron 3 Others CC 2009 gan 4223
From: the Competition Commission
The Competition Commission alleges that the Respondent confravened the provisions of the Competition Act, section 4(1)(6)(1) by engaging in the following prohibited conduct:
Concise statement of the alleged prohibited practice:) Thice fixing in contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act as detailed in the appearant of Khamisi Wolham Kganare hereto annexed. 2010-03-04 RECEIVED BY: May Long TIME: 14400



competitiontribunal south africa

Form Cird(d) Page 2 About this Form

mhistormis reuedmitems on sections obtaines con-petition act The familistable used on Social electably the comse perition commission

innes this is akonsert prove dinds the rice pond coldina var. we thus ele transvirbing to busines days an elabandisen ed r with this acterial.

Figure answer as a solly a ploine or law stringst expending of a water personal and a property of the attention of the attent

An all address first sale outling the Complaint of September and Septemb

te to in the catting denie of mioremath

ALICA COMPENION ALICA I ALICA I ALIGNALIA

hescompenion in building nyate Bag 2.42 um youles Significant Spatial Access 27 (0922), 945 900<u>.</u> 27 (000), 97 (000)

Referral of Complaint by Commission

The Competition Commission seeks an order granting the following relief:

(Concise statement of the order or relief sought:) See the attached Notice of Motion. Horgard RECEIVED BY: 1015

- This referral is to proceed as a consent proceeding.
- This referral is to proceed as a contested proceeding. Attached is an affidavit setting out the grounds of this complaint, and a statement of the material facts and the points of law relevant to it, as required by Competition Tribunal Rule 15(2).

Name and Title of person authorised to sign on behalf of the Competition Commission:

Authorised Signature:

IN THE COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA

HELD AT PRETORIA

CT Case No:

CC Case No: 2009Jan4223

In the matter between:

COMPETITION COMMISSION

APPLICANT

And

CHEVRON SA (PTY) LTD

SHELL SA (PTY) LTD

ENGEN LIMITED

TOTAL SA (PTY) LTD

MASANA PETROLEUM SOLUTION (PTY LTD

SOUTHERN AFRICAN BITUMEN

ASSOCIATION

SASOL LIMITED

TOSAS (PTY) LTD

FIRST RESPONDENT

SECOND RESPONDENT

THIRD RESPONDENT

FOURTH RESPONDENT

FIFTH RESPONDENT

SIXTH RESPONDENT

SEVENTH RESPONDENT

EIGHTH RESPONDENT

NOTICE OF MOTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT the applicant refers a complaint to the Competition Tribunal for an order in the following terms:

- An order declaring that the First to Sixth Respondents have contravened section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act;
- 2. An order directing the First to Sixth Respondents to desist from such conduct;
- 3. An order directing First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth and Sixth Respondents to pay an administrative penalty equivalent to 10% of each of the Respondents' annual turnover in the Republic and its exports from the Republic during the preceding financial year.
- 4. Such further and /or alternative relief as the Tribunal may consider appropriate.

Dated at Pretoria on this 4th day of March 2010

COMPETITION COMMISSION

Applicant

Block C, dti Campus

77 Meintjies Street

Sunnyside

Pretoria

Ref: M Dorasamy /W Mkwananzi

mervind@compcom.co.za

wendym@compcom.co.za

IN THE COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA HELD IN PRETORIA

CT Case No. 06/CR/Mar10 CC Case No. 2009Jan4223

In the matter between:

THE COMPETITION COMMISSION

Applicant

And

MASANA PETROLEUM SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD

Respondent

In re:

COMPETITION COMMISSION

Applicant

and

CHEVRON SA (Pty) LTD

First Respondent

ENGEN LIMITED

Second Respondent

SHELL SA (PTY) LTD

Third Respondent

TOTAL SA (PTY) LTD

Fourth Respondent

MASANA PETROLEUM SOLUTIONS (Pty) LTD

Fifth Respondent
Sixth Respondent

SOUTHERN AFRICAN BITUMEN ASSOCIATION

SASOL LIMITED

Seventh Respondent

TOSAS (PTY) LTD

Eighth Respondent

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

BETWEEN THE COMPETITION COMMISSION AND MASANA PETROLEUM SOLUTIONS (PROPRIETARY) LIMITED IN REGARD TO ALLEGED CONTRAVENTION OF SECTION 4(1)(b)(i) OF THE COMPETITION ACT, 1998 (ACT NO. 89 OF 1998), AS AMENDED

Mon

The Commission and Masana hereby agree that application be made to the Competition Tribunal for the confirmation of a Settlement Agreement in terms of section 58 (1)(a)(iii) of the Competition Act, 1998 (Act No. 89 of 1998), as amended, on the terms set out below.

1. Definitions

For the purposes of this Settlement Agreement the following definitions shall apply:

- 1.1 "Act" means the Competition Act, 1998 (Act No. 89 of 1998), as amended;
- 1.2 "Bitumen" means a residual fraction of crude oil, a mixture of organic components that are highly viscous, black and sticky.
- "Chevron" means CHEVRON SA (PTY) LTD a company duly incorporated with limited liability in terms of the company laws of the Republic of South Africa, with its principal place of business at 19 DF Malan Street Cape Town
- "Commission" means the Competition Commission of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 19 of the Act, with its principal place of business at 1st Floor, Mulayo Building (Block C), the dti Campus, 77 Meintjies Street, Sunnyside, Pretoria, Gauteng;
- 1.5 "Commissioner" means the Commissioner of the Competition Commission, appointed in terms of section 22 of the Act;
- 1.6 "Complaint" means the complaint initiated by the Commissioner of the Competition Commission in terms of section 49B of the Act under case number 2009Jan4223
- 1.7 "Settlement Agreement" means this agreement duly signed and concluded between the Commission and Masana;
- 1.8 "CLP" means the Corporate Leniency Policy prepared and issued by the

- Commission as a guideline, to clarify the Commission's policy approach on matters falling within its jurisdiction in terms of the Act;
- "Engen" means ENGEN LIMITED ("Engen"), a company duly incorporated and registered in terms of the company laws of the Republic of South Africa with its principal place of business at Engen Court, Thibault Square, corner of Riebeeck and Long Streets, Cape Town.
- "Masana" means Masana Petroleum Solutions (Pty) Limited, a company duly incorporated and registered in terms of the company laws of the Republic of South Africa with its principal place of business at 10 Junction Avenue, Parktown, Johannesburg.
- 1.11 "Parties" means the Commission and Masana;
- 1.12 "SABITA" means SOUTHERN AFRICAN BITUMEN ASSOCIATION ("SABITA") a voluntary non profit association with its principal place of business at 5 Lonsdale, Lonsdale Way, Pinelands, Cape Town.
- 1.13 "Sasol" means SASOL LIMITED ("Sasol"), a company duly incorporated and registered in terms of the company laws of the Republic of South Africa, with its principal place of business at 1 Sturdee Avenue, Rosebank, Johannesburg
- "Shell" means SHELL SA (PTY) LTD ("Shell") a company duly incorporated and registered in terms of the company laws of the Republic of South Africa, with its principal place of business at Shell House, 9 Riebeeck Street cape Town.
- "Tosas" means TOSAS (PTY) LTD ("Tosas"), a company duly incorporated and registered in terms of the company laws of the Republic of South Africa, with its principal place of business at 12 Commercial Road, Wadeville, Johannesburg. The eighth respondent is a wholly owned subsidiary of the seventh respondent. Prior to April 2005, the eighth respondent was a joint venture between Sasol and Total in terms of which Sasol owned 70% of the issued share capital and Total owned 30%.
- 1.16 "Total" means TOTAL SA (PTY) LTD ("Total"), a company duly incorporated

and registered in terms of the company laws of the Republic of South Africa, with its principal place of business at Total House, 3 Biermann Avenue, Rosebank, Johannesburg.

1.17 "Tribunal" means the Competition Tribunal of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 26 of the Act, with its principal place of business at 3rd Floor, Mulayo building (Block C), the dti Campus, 77 Meintjies Street, Sunnyside, Pretoria, Gauteng.

2. The Complaint and Complaint Investigation

- 2.1 On 10 September 2008, Sasol together with its subsidiaries, including Tosas, applied for and subsequently obtained conditional immunity in terms of paragraph 12 of the applicant's CLP, in respect of their participation in the development of, and agreement to adopt, a pricing mechanism in respect of the sale of base bitumen and bituminous products.
- 2.2 In its application for leniency Sasol alleged that it, together with Chevron, Engen, Shell, Total, Masana and Tosas being parties in a horizontal relationship, had contravened section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act by engaging in price fixing by agreeing to a mechanism to calculate the WLSP (Wholesale List Selling Price), and to the development and implementation of the BPAF (Bitumen Price Adjustment Factor) in relation to the sale of base bitumen and bituminous products.
- On 12 January 2009, and pursuant to Sasol's leniency application, applicant initiated a complaint in terms of section 49(B) (1) of the Act against the respondents. The applicant conducted an investigation into the relevant facts disclosed by Sasol and concluded that Chevron, Engen, Shell, Total, Masana, Sasol and Tosas had indeed engaged in restrictive horizontal practices, in contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act.
- 2.4 The Commission's investigation revealed that:
 - 2.4.1 In and during the period commencing from September 2000 to December

Mon

2009, and possibly thereafter, *Chevron, Engen, Shell, Total, Masana, Sasol* and *Tosas*, being parties in a horizontal relationship, acting through their representatives, entered into various agreements, and engaged in conduct that involved concerted practices and/or took decisions that were intended to directly and indirectly fix the purchase or selling price of bitumen and bituminous products in the Republic in contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act.

- 2.4.2 Chevron, Engen, Shell, Total, Sasol and Tosas are producers and I or suppliers of bitumen, and compete with one another in the production and I or sale of bitumen and bituminous products in the Republic.Chevron, Engen, Shell, Total, and Sasol are also members of SABITA, a non profit organisation that represents inter alia producers of and applicators of bituminous products.
- 2.4.3 The respondents, operating through SABITA, as well as through other forms of communications, including bilateral communications between them agreed a formula for determining the pricing of base bitumen and bituminous products.
- 2.4.4 Historically, the petroleum and energy companies calculated the prices for bitumen with reference to an industry-wide retail price list for bitumen and bituminous products. This was calculated collectively by all petroleum companies and was referred to as the Wholesale List Selling Price ("WLSP").
- 2.4.5 The WLSP for bitumen was made up of the In Bond Landed Costs ("IBLC"), which essentially was an import parity based formula where various transport related costs were added to a Free on Board ("FOB") heavy fuel oil price at typical international refining centres. This base price was replaced finally by the Durban Bunker price. Added to the IBLC to arrive at the WLSP were the SABITA levy, the margin and the Road Equalisation Factor ("REF"), which was subsequently replaced by the Crude Oil Pipeline tariff.

M Don

2.4.6 Chevron, Engen, Shell, Total, Masana, Sasol and Tosas and other role players in the industry, in contravention of section 4 of the Act, discussed the establishment of a mechanism to set the WLSP of bitumen in relation to the Bitumen Pricing Index. The respondents adopted the BPAF as a basis for price escalations in their contracts with end consumers

3. The Complaint Referral

- 3.1 The Commission referred the above complaint to the Tribunal on 4 March 2010.
- 3.2 Prior to the referral, *Masana*, approached the *Commission* indicated its willingness to settle the matter and in addition provided the Commission with information pertaining to its role in the cartel. The *Settlement Agreement* was concluded as a result of *Masana's* engagement with the *Commission*.

4. Statement of Conduct

- 4.1 Masana admits that it has contravened section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act, in that:
- 4.2 Masana and its competitors
 - 4.2.1 met and shared competitively sensitive information relating to the pricing of bitumen and associated products;
 - 4.2.2 made use of a common platform to transparently share and exchange pricing information;
 - 4.2.3 used, made use of and implemented the agreed pricing formulae.
- 4.3 The Corporate Senior Management of *Masana* confirm that, to the best of their knowledge and belief, there are no further contraventions of section 4 of the *Act*, which were and /or might have been engaged in by *Masana*.

5. Agreement Concerning Future Conduct

Me San

Masana agrees to cooperate with the Commission in relation to the prosecution of any other parties under the Complaint Referral. This cooperation includes and is not limited to the provision of evidence (documentary and oral), pertaining to the contraventions detailed in this Settlement Agreement and the provision of witnesses to testify to this conduct in proceedings before the Tribunal.

5.2 Masana agrees to:

- 5.2.1 circulate a statement summarising the contents of this Settlement Agreement to all management and operational staff employed in its business within 30 days from the date of confirmation of this Settlement Agreement by the Tribunal;
- 5.2.2 develop and implement a compliance programme incorporating corporate governance designed to ensure that its employees, management and directors do not engage in any conduct which constitutes a prohibited practice in terms of the Act, a copy of which programme shall be submitted to the Commission within 60 days of the date of confirmation of this Settlement Agreement by the Tribunal;

6. Administrative Penalty

- 6.1 Having regard to the provisions of sections 58(1)(a)(iii) as read with sections 59(1)(a), 59(2) and 59(3) of the Act, *Masana* accepts that it is liable to pay an administrative penalty.
 - The parties have agreed that Masana will pay an administrative penalty in the amount of R13 000 000, 00 (thirteen million rand)
- 6.2 This amount does not exceed 10% of Masana's annual turnover in the Republic and its exports from the Republic during the preceeding financial year.

6.3 Masana will pay the amount set out in paragraph 6.1 above to the Commission within six months from the date of confirmation of this Settlement agreement by the Tribunal. This amount will be paid over by the Commission to the National Revenue Fund, referred to in section 59(4) of the Act.

7. Full and Final Settlement

This agreement, upon confirmation as an order by the Tribunal, is entered into in full and final settlement and concludes all proceedings between the Commission *Masana* relating to any alleged contravention by the respondents of the Act that is the subject of the Commission's investigation referred to the Tribunal under CT Case No. 06/CR/Mar10

Dated and signed at PARKTOWN on the 20 day of MAY 2010

For Masana

Chief Executive-Officer

For the Commission

Competition Commissioner

June 2010. Pretimm